

GEO-HEAT CENTER

Oregon Institute of Technology, Klamath Falls, Oregon 97601 541/885-1750 FAX 541/885-1754

John W. Lund, Director Andrew Chiasson Tonya "Toni" Boyd Debi Carr

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Geo-Heat Center conducted an assessment of the use of a geothermal well coupled to a radiant floor system for greenhouse heating at the planned expansion of Ward's Greenhouse, Inc. near Oreana, ID. Eventual plans call for construction of a 16-acre state-of-the-art greenhouse facility that will specialize mainly in the raising of poinsettias and other potted flowers. The proposed greenhouse design calls for a concrete floor with a flood-floor irrigation system and a radiant floor heating system. The main objective of this project was to investigate the possibility of "loading" the concrete floor with thermal energy prior to the onset of cold weather.

This work has been funded and completed under Midwest Research Institute, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) Task Order No. KLDJ-5-55052-05, "*Feasibility Studies for Projects in Utah, Nevada, and Idaho*".

Greenhouse Heating Loads and Radiant Floor Heating Performance

Heating load calculations show that the peak heating requirement for the proposed greenhouse is 76 $Btu/hr/ft^2$ of floor space during a typical weather year. Use of an isolation heat exchanger between the geothermal water and a radiant floor heating system allows flow rates through the radiant floor system to be adjusted to keep acceptable temperature drops across the floor (i.e. 10 to 20°F) while extracting an optimum amount of heat from the geothermal water.

A computer model was developed to simulate the performance of a concrete radiant floor heating system with a flood-floor irrigation system for plants. A computer model allows ease of processing the numerous interacting variables that occur simultaneously in radiant floor systems. For this study, it was assumed that the geothermal well could sustain a flow rate of 1,500 gpm, producing 140°F water. Model results show that the radiant floor system can only handle 55% of the peak load, but is capable of handling 94% of the total annual load. The annual cost of heating the full-scale greenhouse with natural gas at 80% efficiency and \$1.20/therm would amount to \$1.45 million. Therefore, the geothermal system would save about \$1.37 million annually.

Supplemental Heating System and Other Radiant Floor Options

In colder climates characteristic of southern Idaho, it is typically difficult to heat an entire greenhouse with a radiant floor system. Temperature control of the space is also challenging due to the "warm-up" and "cool-down" times required for the thermal mass of the floor. Therefore, it is more desirable to design a baseload and peaking system, regardless of whether the well could supply enough heat to the radiant floor to heat the entire greenhouse. An energy efficient means to provide peak heating would be to cascade geothermal water from the radiant floor to water-source geothermal heat pumps, which would supply a forced-air system. During a typical weather year, additional annual electrical costs to operate water-source heat pumps would are estimated at about \$22,500, while a natural gas peaking system would cost about \$84,000 annually.

Additional computer model simulations show comparable heating performance with a compacted sand radiant floor heating system with no flood-floor irrigation system. Relative to a concrete floor radiant heating system with flood-floor irrigation, the fraction of peak load handled by the sand floor decreases slightly to 50%, and the annual load fraction decreases slightly to 91%. This option still results in an attractive annual savings of \$1.32 million while saving the capital cost of a concrete floor.

Management of Geothermal Water

The existing water right for groundwater use at the Ward property could not be used for geothermal heating as is because the beneficial use of groundwater for which the existing water right was obtained is for irrigation from April 1 to October 31. The subject property is not in a groundwater management area, and consequently a new water right for year-round heating could be obtained.

With year-round extraction of groundwater from the well (i.e. irrigation plus partial heating uses in summer and large heating uses in winter), aquifer depletion can be a concern. Therefore, it would be prudent to plan on a groundwater injection well to replenish the aquifer with groundwater used by the heating system. The cost of an injection well drilled to the same depth as the production well (2,900 ft) would be on the order of \$500,000.

Recommendations

The Geo-Heat Center recommends design of a radiant floor baseload heating system with a forced-air peaking system of geothermal heat pumps supplied by groundwater cascaded from the radiant floor. Some additional hydrogeological studies at the site are also recommended to re-affirm key design parameters. A step drawdown test on the well would give better insight into long-term sustainability of well flow rates and temperatures. Design of an injection well on the property in the downstream groundwater flow direction is recommended. Finally, the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) should be contacted in order to start the application process for a new water right.

INTRODUCTION

The Geo-Heat Center conducted an assessment of geothermal radiant floor heating options at the new expansion of Ward's Greenhouse, located near Oreana, ID in Owyhee County. This work has been funded and completed under Midwest Research Institute, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) Task Order No. KLDJ-5-55052-05, "Feasibility Studies for Projects in Utah, Nevada, and Idaho".

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE

Ward's Greenhouse, Inc. plans to build a 16-acre state-of-the-art greenhouse facility near Oreana, ID in Owyhee County, mainly specializing in growing poinsettias and other flowers. Heating of the facility is planned to be done with geothermal energy. A well existing on the property was completed in 1963, and produces about 1,500 gpm of water at approximately 140°F under artesian conditions. The water well log is attached as Appendix A.

A preliminary engineering report on the greenhouse design concluded that the well could not provide enough heat for the entire greenhouse during peak load, unless the thermal energy could be stored in some fashion. It was therefore theorized that heat could be stored in the massive concrete slab floor prior to the onset of cold weather. A concrete floor is being planed for the facility for use with a flood-floor type irrigation system. Thus, the objective of this study is to determine the feasibility of using the existing geothermal well to store thermal energy in the greenhouse concrete slab floor.

METHOD OF STUDY

The methods and approach conducted by the Geo-Heat Center to accomplish the project objectives are summarized as follows:

- Visited the existing Ward's greenhouse facility in Garden Valley, ID and the site near Oreana, ID for the new expansion,
- Obtained the water well log of the existing well and met with staff of the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) to review water rights,
- Computed hourly heating loads for the proposed greenhouse using typical meteorological year (TMY) data for Boise, ID,
- Constructed a computer model of the radiant floor slab to compare heating alternatives.

GREENHOUSE HEATING LOAD CALCULATIONS

Hourly heating loads were calculated for the proposed greenhouse using typical meteorological year (TMY) data for Boise, ID. Heat transfer processes included in the calculations were: *solar heat gain, conduction through the structure, convection, infiltration, and ground conduction.* Greenhouse construction was assumed to be an inflated double polyethylene film with gables and sidewalls of glazed twin-wall polycarbonate. An interior set-point temperature of 72°F was assumed.

Hourly outdoor air temperatures for a typical year in Boise, ID are shown in Figure 1. According to ASHRAE (2005), the heating design temperature at the 99.6 percentile is 2°F, which means that, over the long term, lower air temperatures could occur about 0.4% of the time (or about 35 hours).



Figure 1. Outdoor air temperatures for a "typical" year in Boise, ID.

Based on heating load calculations, the peak load of the greenhouse is approximately 76 Btu/hr/ft² of floor space during a typical year.

RADIANT FLOOR COMPUTER SIMULATION

In order to properly analyze the radiant floor heating options, we used a detailed computer model developed for TRNSYS (acronym for *transient systems simulation software*). Model documentation is described in a paper published in the Journal of Solar Energy Engineering by Chiasson et al. (2000). The advantage of such a computer model is that once developed, the heating performance of various scenarios can be examined. A model of this type is helpful in assessing situations like this where several interacting variables are involved that are difficult to process with manual calculations.

In summary, the computer model performs an hourly energy balance on a horizontal crosssection with embedded tubing. The top surface heat fluxes are due to environmental heat transfer processes. Conduction heat transfer through the concrete slab is calculated with a finite difference method. Hourly fluid temperatures from the slab are then determined by an energy balance on the fluid. Input data to the model, in addition to interior temperature data, include cross-section geometry and thermal properties of the slab and subsoil. The model was originally developed to handle rain and snow in an outdoor environment, so the flood floor irrigation system was simulated as rain. The flood-floor irrigation system has a cooling effect on the floor, and thus represents an additional heating load.

Input data to the computer model included 3/4-in. nominal diameter polyethylene tubing at 12-in. spacing and 4-in. depth of the center-line of the tubing below the floor surface. A 4-inch concrete slab was assumed, underlain by compacted sand fill and an insulating barrier. A total flow rate of 1,500 gpm from the well was simulated at a temperature of 140° F from the well head.

Radiant floor systems are typically designed for a maximum temperature drop in the heating fluid of 20°F so that large temperature gradients do not occur across the floor and cause uneven floor temperatures. This upper limit of temperature drop essentially limits the amount of heat transfer if the geothermal fluid were to be piped directly through the floor. One way around this is to use a plate-type heat exchanger and separate the radiant floor loop from the geothermal water as shown in Figure 2. In this design concept, flow rates through the floor can be increased to match heat lost through the geothermal side while maintaining acceptable temperatures drops across the working fluid in the radiant floor and allowing a much greater temperature drop in the geothermal fluid. This was the design simulated in the computer model.

Results of the computer model simulation show that the concrete radiant floor system can handle a peak load of **41.5 Btu/hr/ft²**, or about 55% of the peak load during a typical weather year. System temperatures and flow rates are shown in Figure 2. The average surface temperature of the slab under this condition is 80.7° F.



Figure 2. Schematic of the simulated system.

Although the radiant floor system can only handle 55% of the peak load, it handles 94% of the total annual load as shown in Figure 3, which is a graph of hourly heating loads over the year for a typical weather year. The annual cost of heating the greenhouse with natural gas at 80% efficiency and \$1.20/therm would amount to \$1.45 million. Therefore, the geothermal system would save about \$1.37 million.



Figure 3. Hourly loads handled by the radiant floor geothermal system.

SUPPLEMENTAL HEATING SYSTEM AND OTHER RADIANT FLOOR OPTIONS

In colder climates with sunny winter days characteristic of southern Idaho, it is typically difficult to heat an entire greenhouse with a radiant floor system. Temperature control of the space is usually challenging due to the "warm-up" and "cool-down" times required for the thermal mass of the floor. Therefore, it is more desirable to design a baseload and peaking system, regardless of whether the well could supply enough heat to the radiant floor to heat the entire greenhouse.

An energy efficient means to provide peak heating would be to cascade geothermal water from the radiant floor to water-source geothermal heat pumps, which would supply a forced-air system. As seen in Figure 2, the temperature of the geothermal water exiting the heat exchanger still has plenty of thermal energy content that could be extracted by a heat pump prior to disposal of the water. The heat pumps could either be a number of water-to-air heat pumps or water-towater heat pumps that supply fan coils. During a typical weather year, additional annual electrical costs to operate water-source heat pumps would amount to about \$22,500. A natural gas peaking system at 80% efficiency and \$1.20/therm would have annual energy costs of about \$84,000. Thus, the use of a heat pump peaking system would result in annual energy savings of about \$61,500.

Another option for radiant floor heating of the proposed greenhouse would be to lay the tubing in a sand bedding, covered in pea gravel or some other type of material for the floor surface. We simulated this scenario also, and there is some performance tradeoff between eliminating the flood-floor irrigation system and replacing the concrete with a lower thermal conductivity material (i.e. sand and gravel). The fraction of peak load handled by this option decreases slightly to 50%, and the annual load fraction decreases slightly to 91%. This option still results in an attractive annual savings of \$1.32 million while saving the capital cost of a concrete floor. Therefore, the decision to use a concrete floor should be dictated by desired irrigation methods and not necessarily heating methods.

MANAGEMENT OF GEOTHERMAL WATER

During the course of the Geo-Heat Center's site visit, two issues arose regarding groundwater management: (1) water rights and (2) geothermal water disposal.

The existing water right for groundwater use at the Ward property (included as Appendix B) could not be used for geothermal heating as is because the beneficial use of groundwater for which the existing water right was obtained is for irrigation from April 1 to October 31. The greatest water demand for greenhouse heating would obviously be in the winter, so a new water right would be needed. Fortunately, the Ward property is not in any groundwater management area, and a new water right could be obtained.

The second issue regarding management of geothermal water is disposal. Currently, groundwater is used in the summer where it is directed from the well to a holding pond where it cools prior to being used for irrigation purposes. However, in the winter when heating demands are the greatest, there are no uses of the groundwater for irrigation at the quantity being used (i.e. 1,500 gpm) for greenhouse heating. This means that there are two disposal options: (a) discharge to the ponds for eventual disposal to the Snake River and/or (b) an injection well. Given the quantity of groundwater demands for greenhouse heating over the heating season and possible depletion of groundwater resources, it would be best management practice to inject the groundwater back to the aquifer through an injection well. The cost of an injection well drilled to the same depth as the production well (2,900 ft) would be on the order of \$500,000.

CONCLUDING SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This report has examined the use of a geothermal well coupled to a radiant floor system for greenhouse heating at the planned expansion of Ward's Greenhouse, Inc. near Oreana, ID. Eventual plans call for construction of a 16-acre state-of-the-art greenhouse facility, mainly specializing in poinsettias and other flowers. Some specific conclusions of this study are as follows:

- Heating load calculations show the peak load of the greenhouse to be approximately 76 Btu/hr/ft² of floor space during a typical weather year.
- With an isolation heat exchanger between the geothermal water and a radiant floor

heating system, flow rates through the radiant floor system can be adjusted to keep acceptable temperature drops across the floor while extracting an optimum amount of heat from the geothermal water.

- Computer model simulation shows that concrete radiant floor system can handle a <u>peak</u> load of 41.5 Btu/hr/ft², or about 55% of the total greenhouse peak load during a typical weather year.
- While a concrete radiant floor heating system only handles 55% of the peak load, it is capable of handling 94% of the total annual load. With the full 16-acre expansion, use of geothermal energy for heating results in an annual savings of \$1.37 million.
- Additional computer model simulations show comparable heating performance with a compacted sand radiant floor heating system with no flood-floor irrigation system. Relative to a concrete floor radiant heating system with flood-floor irrigation, the fraction of peak load handled by the sand floor decreases slightly to 50%, and the annual load fraction decreases slightly to 91%. This option still results in an attractive annual savings of \$1.32 million while saving the capital cost of a concrete floor.
- An energy efficient means of providing peak heating would be to cascade the geothermal water from the radiant floor to water-source geothermal heat pumps, which would supply a forced-air system. The use of a heat pump peaking system would result in annual energy savings of about \$61,500 over a natural gas peaking system.
- The existing water right for the property where the new expansion is being planned is applicable to summer irrigation, and therefore would not be applicable for winter greenhouse heating. The subject property is not in a groundwater management area, and consequently a new water right could be obtained.
- With year-round extraction of groundwater from the well (i.e. irrigation plus partial heating uses in summer and large heating uses in winter), aquifer depletion can be a concern. Therefore, it would be prudent to plan on a groundwater injection well to replenish the aquifer with groundwater used by the heating system. At the same depth as the production well, the estimated cost of an injection well is on the order of \$500,000.

The Geo-Heat Center recommends some additional study on the hydrogeology of the site to confirm details for the final design of the heating system. First, a "step test" on the well would be a good way to determine well shut-in pressures at various flow rates. Groundwater temperature should also be recorded during this test. This type of test will give better insight into long-term sustainability of well flow rates and temperatures. Second, siting and design of an injection well on the property is recommended. An injection well should be located in the downstream groundwater flow direction. Finally, the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) should be contacted in order to start the application process for a new water right.

REFERENCES

- ASHRAE, 2005. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers, *Handbook of Fundamentals*.
- Chiasson, A., Spitler, J.D., Rees, S.J., and Smith, M.D., 2000. A Model for Simulating the Performance of a Pavement Heating System as a Supplemental Heat Rejecter with Closed-Loop Ground-Source Heat Pump Systems. *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering*, ASME.

Ward's Greenhouse, Oreana, Idaho Assessment of Greenhouse Heating Options with Geothermal Energy Geo-Heat Center, November 2006

APPENDIX A

WATER WELL LOG FOR THE WARD PROPERTY

NOR LOC CODE 1 10-2763

فالمقافة فالمعاد المتعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعاد المعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعاد والمعا

.

WELL LOG AND REPORT TO THE STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER OF IDAHO

SUBLIT WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER CONFLECTON OF WELL: UNE IDARD STRTUTES 42-238

Po	rmit Na.	Well No	County	Owyhee	
0	vner i Alini kan	here a	EP	Lawrence	Locate well in section
Ad	dress (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ore	eana DD	
Dri	Nor frances	1 . 41 . 22		rold Steiner	NW14N814
Ad	dross (1				Soc.
We	II location SE	VA NW VA Soc	10, , 05	NIS, R . E/W	10
Size	e of drilled hole.	12" to 108		2100 "	
2	100 296	0		pth of well 3966	
GIV	e depth to standi	g water from the	Found A	latar tomp 142 0Fo	thr.
Tos	t delivery was	gpm or	efs Drawdow	n was feet Put	p?Boil?
Size	of pump and mo	or used to make ter			
Len	gth of time of test	hou hou	rs	ninutes	
IF f	lowing well, give	flow cfs	1458gpm a	nd of shut off pressure	714
1F FI	owing well, describ	ed control works	11" gate	Value unt	TE
Wat	er will be used fo	16 gate	4 4 domesti	Weight of casing per lit	VE ETC 1
Thic	kness of casing	E 4 Casing	material 🗲	teel	······································
Dior	neter, length and l	f ocation of casing y		ISTEEL CONGRETE	WOOD ETC.)
ί.	<u>.</u>		CABING (IN DIAMETER OR LESS, G VER 12 IN DIAMETER GIVE	OUTRIDE DIAMETER:
	and a second s	na a f	· · · ·		and the second
			CASING	RECORD	n an an anna an an agus <u>an an Allan</u> a an an A <u>llan an an</u>
Di	am From	Te		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 Answer (Providence) (Providence
La	sing Foet	Feet	•	Kensarks —seals,	grouting, etc
1.	2		Accus	bed an au	toda
10	1/4 12	1150 1100	9000	to on ou	to lo
11	1000	1040 641	1 June	e 00 00	1100
18.	5 1840	2120 320	placed	With Osr	Thing MUB- In hole
	e needen statue oor zijn in die		ada a sa ka	n oleh er en en en er	
Nom	ber and size of p	orforations	·- · · · · · · · · ·	ocaled	to feat from ground
			5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	anna ann Barns an
		11	101-0		
Date	of comment unent	of well 20pt	1962	cte of completion of w	ell Oct 1963
			SEN W S	10 55 16	lises

Ward's Greenhouse, Oreana, Idaho Assessment of Greenhouse Heating Options with Geothermal Energy Geo-Heat Center, November 2006

SHEET NO. 2

To Feet

٩.,

1 11

From Feat

75

(-)

 $\hat{k} \cdot$

ъ.

28 66

Well Driller 11 11 11 11 11 Well Location Land Auch

۰.

WELL LOG				
Type of Material	Drillin	g Time	Warrbearing Forwind Ant. Yes et No	Carley Performe
e pre or manager	Hrs	Mła		
Hard hat a to be from these		1	-	
black basalt.			Yes	
the second s	n 1 - 7 N N			
- 1 c - 1 c - c - c - c - c - c - c - c				
in a contract to the second				
gray porrous rock about	1600	inte	45	

I



Ward's Greenhouse, Oreana, Idaho Assessment of Greenhouse Heating Options with Geothermal Energy Geo-Heat Center, November 2006

APPENDIX B

EXISTING WATER RIGHT FOR THE WARD PROPERTY

Page 1

08/24/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Water Right Report 57-2249A

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 57-2249A

Owner Type		Nan	ne and Ac	dress							
Current Owner		GRE 15 L GAR (208	GORY S OMAN RE DEN VAL)462-3486	WARD) .LEY, ID }	83622						
Current Owner		JAC 15 L GAR (208	k d wari Owman Den Val)462-3486	D RD LEY, ID S	83622						
Current Owner		ORP 15 L GAR (208)	Pha G Wa Owman I Den Val)462-3486	.RD RD LEY, ID	83622						
Original Owne	r	LILLI	ian l ev#	NS.							
		, ID									
Original Owner		WILL 3520 MER	IAM D EV W AMIT	/ANS / 83642							
Directors Report Owner		CAR 2446 MUR (208)	OL P GILI 0 COLLE PHY, ID 834-2383	BERT IT RD 83650-5	069						
Current Owner		DAVI 15 L0 HC77	id J War Dwman F 7 Box 39	D RD 12							
		GAR (208)	DEN VAL 462-3486	LEY, ID	83622						
Priority Date:	03/08/196	7									
Basis:	Decreed										
Status:	Active										
Source GROUND WAT	ER				<u>Trik</u>	outary					
Popoficial Llos			From	То		Diversio	n Pato		Appual	Volume	
IRRIGATION			4/01 to	10/31		2.45	0 CFS		8	68.50 AF	
				Total D)iversion:	2.45	0 CFS		8	68 50 AF	
Location of Point	nt(s) of Dive	ersion									
GROUND WAT	ER			SE1/4	SE1/4NV	/1/4	Sec	10, T	wp 05S,	Rge 01	Е, В М
OWYHE	E County										
Place of Use											
IRRIGATION											
Twp Rge Sec	I	NE	I	NW	1	1	sw	1	ş	3E	Ι

08/24/2006

Page 2 IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES Water Right Report 57-2249A INE INW ISW ISE INE INW ISW ISE | NE INW ISW ISE | NE INW ISW ISE | <u>Totals</u> 123 0 20 0 37 01 29.01 05\$ 01E 9 37.0 1 50 20 390 230 161 0 1240 320 360 05S 01E 10 Total Acres: 284

Conditions of Approval:

- THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE C18 1 DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE SECTION 42-1412(6), IDAHO CODE THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF 233.0 ACRES WITHIN THE PLACE OF USE 2 DESCRIBED ABOVE IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PLACE OF USE PURSUANT TO SECTION 3 C05
- 42-1425, IDAHO CODE
- THE USE OF WATER FOR IRRIGATION UNDER THIS RIGHT MAY BEGIN AS EARLY AS MARCH 1 4 AND MAY CONTINUE TO AS LATE AS NOVEMBER 15, PROVIDED OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE RIGHT ARE NOT EXCEEDED. THE USE OF WATER BEFORE APRIL 1 AND AFTER OCTOBER 31 UNDER THIS REMARK IS SUBORDINATE TO ALL WATER RIGHTS HAVING NO SUBORDINATED EARLY OR LATE IRRIGATION USE AND A PRIORITY DATE EARLIER THAN THE DATE A PARTIAL DECREE IS ENTERED FOR THIS RIGHT
- WATER TEMPERATURE MEASURED AT 128.0 DEGREES F 5

Remarks:

Comments:

1 MCCARTHY 1/29/1992 COPIED FROM REMARKS Comment: NOT TO EXCEED 1395 AF/SEASON FROM ALL SOURCES

2 SCHULTZ 8/12/1992 NOTICE OF ERROR (NOE) Comment: RECEIVED NOE FROM DONALD BARNHILL (LEASING PROPERTY) 8/11/92. CHANGED NOE FLAG FROM BLANK TO A CLAIM VERIFIED 7/17/92. DID NOT REVERIFY ANY PART OF CLAIM

3. SSHERMAN 8/10/1994 NOTICE OF APPEARANCE Comment: NON-DATE STAMPED NOA REC'D, SIMMS & STEIN REPRESENTING CAROL GILBERT Page 3

08/24/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Water Right Report 57-2249A

Dates and Other Information:

Licensed Date: 10/25/2002 Decreed Date: Enlargement Use Priority Date: Enlargement Statute Priority Date: State or Federal: S Owner Name Connector: AND Water District Number: Generic Max Rate Per Acre: Generic Max Volume Per Acre: Decree Defendant: Decree Plaintiff: Civil Case Number: 39576 Judicial District: FIFTH Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust: Swan Falls Dismissed: DLE Act Number: Carey Act Number: False Mitigation Plan: Combined Use Limits:

Rate	Volume	Acres	
		306	
Combined Water Ri 57-112A , 57-114A 57-2249A	ights: 57-108A , 57-8 , 57-115A , 57-121A	5A , 57-87A , 5 , 57-135A , 57-	7-100A,57-102A, 147A,57-162A,

Water Supply Bank: