AN INFORMATION SURVIVAL KIT FOR THE PROSPECTIVE RESIDENTIAL GEOTHERMAL **HEAT PUMP OWNER**

Kevin Rafferty Geo-Heat Center

INTRODUCTION

The fact that you are considering a geothermal (or ground-source) heat pump system, places you among the best informed and most innovative homeowners in the country. Geothermal heat pumps (GHPs), although not a new technology, remain a small (but growing) player in the residential heating/cooling sector. Although some what higher in first cost, this technology can, in the right application, quickly repay this cost premium through savings in energy costs.

Despite all the positive publicity on GHPs, they are not for everyone. Like any other heating and cooling system, GHPs tend to fit well in certain circumstances and poorly in others. Familiarizing yourself with the factors that effect the feasibility of GHPs will assist you in making an informed decision as to their suitability for your home.

It is the intention of this package to provide that information and to address some of the commonly asked questions regarding the technology. Please feel free to contact us if you have questions not covered in this package.

TERMINOLOGY

One of the major hurdles for this technology is reaching a consensus as to what it will be called. A great many names have been used in the past with confusion resulting for the public and the industry. The following figures outline the major residential system types and the various names used for each.

GEOTHERMAL HEAT PUMPS (GHP)

a.k.a. Ground Source Heat Pumps (GSHP)




Figure 1.

Two terms are in use to describe the technology in general: geothermal heat pump (GHP) and ground-source heat pump (GSHP). The former is typically used by individuals in marketing and government, and latter by engineering and technical types. The terms appearing in bold (Figure 1) will be the ones used throughout this text.

Ground-coupled systems have been widely used since the mid-1980s. Currently, horizontal systems constitute about half of the installations, vertical 35%, and pond and "other" approximately 15% (Kavanaugh, 1995)..

Groundwater systems have been used for a somewhat longer time than ground-coupled systems, and have been popular since the early 1970s.

HEAT PUMPS - FUNDAMENTALS

Heat naturally flows "downhill", from higher to lower temperatures. A heat pump is a machine which causes the heat to flow in a direction opposite to its natural tendency or "uphill" in terms of temperature. Because work must be done (energy consumed) to accomplish this, the name heat "pump" is used to describe the device.

In reality, a heat pump is nothing more than an refrigeration unit. Any refrigeration device (window air conditioner, refrigerator, freezer, etc.) moves heat from a space (to keep it cool) and discharges that heat at higher temperatures. The only difference between a heat pump and a refrigeration unit is the desired effect--cooling for the refrigeration unit and heating for the heat pump. A second distinguishing factor of many heat pumps is that they are reversible and can provide either heating or cooling to the space.

One of the most important characteristics of heat pumps, particularly in the context of home heating/cooling, is that the efficiency of the unit and the energy required to operate it are directly related to the temperatures between which it operates. In heat pump terminology, the difference between the temperature where the heat is absorbed (the "source") and the temperature where the heat is delivered (the "sink") is called the "lift." The larger the lift, the greater the power input required by the heat pump. This is important because it forms the basis for the efficiency advantage of the geothermal heat pumps over air-source heat pumps. An airsource heat pump, must remove heat from cold outside air in the winter and deliver heat to hot outside air in the summer. In contrast, the GHP retrieves heat from relatively warm soil (or groundwater) in the winter and delivers heat to the same relatively cool soil (or groundwater) in the summer.

As a result, the geothermal heat pump, regardless of the season is almost always pumping the heat over a shorter temperature distance than the air-source heat pump. This leads to higher efficiency and lower energy use.

EQUIPMENT

The foundation of any GHP system is the heat pump unit itself. The most commonly used unit in these systems is the single package water-to-air heat pump. All of the components are contained in a single enclosure, about the size of a small gas furnace.

The unit includes a refrigerant-to-water heat exchanger, refrigerant piping and control value, compressor, air coil (heats in winter; cools and dehumidifies in summer), fan and controls (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Horizontal water-to-air heat pump (Kavanaugh, 1991)

The single package design is a major advantage over the so-called "split" system used for air-source heat pumps (ASHP). The lack of an outside unit reduces the amount of refrigerant required and the potential for leaks--a major enhancement to reliability.

Virtually all GHP units use refrigerant R-22, an HCFC. R-22 is considered a transition refrigerant and has a ODP (ozone depletion value) of 0.05--only 5% of the most damaging refrigerants R-11 and R-12. This refrigerant is not scheduled for phase out until 2030.

Domestic hot water heating capability can be added to most GHP units. The components are installed in the cabinet by some manufacturers and supplied as a small add-on cabinet by others. The domestic hot water heating components consist of a refrigerant-to-water heat exchanger and a small circulating pump. Field installed piping connects this unit to your domestic hot water heater.

High efficiency equipment generally contains a high efficiency compressor, larger air coil, higher efficiency fan motor, and sometimes, a larger refrigerant-to-water heat exchanger.

Manufacturers also offer split systems, water-towater heat pumps, multi-speed compressors, dual compressor, and rooftop versions of this equipment to suit various applications.

PERFORMANCE RATINGS

One of the most confusing aspects of geothermal heat pump technology is equipment ratings. These heating and cooling performance values are useful for comparing units of the same type (i.e., ASHP to ASHP or GHP to GHP). Unfortunately, the ratings used for different types of equipment (furnaces, ASHP, GHP) are not generally comparable making comparisons difficult. As a result, it is useful to know what the rating values include and what they don't.

Most heat pumps are rated by the American Refrigerant Institute (ARI). Results are published every six months in the *Directory of Certified Applied Air Conditioning Products (for GHPs)* and the *Directory of Certified Unitary Products (for ASHPs)*.

For water-source heat pumps (the type of heat pump used in all GHP systems), cooling performance is defined by an index called EER (Energy Efficiency Ratio). This is the cooling affect produced by the unit (in Btu/hr) divided by the electrical input (in watts) resulting in units of Btu/watthr. Electrical input includes compressor, fans and "pumping" allowance (for the groundwater or ground loop).

Heating performance is defined by the index called COP (Coefficient Of Performance). This is the heating affect produced by the unit (in Btu/hr) divided by the energy equivalent of the electrical input (in Btu/hr) resulting in a dimensionless (no units) value. Again, the COP includes an allowance for pumping.

Both the COP and EER values for groundwater heat pumps are single point (valid only at the specific test conditions used in the rating) values only. This in contrast to the seasonal values (HSPF and SEER) published for air-source equipment. COP and EER are not the same as, or valid for use in comparison to, SEER and HSPF.

GHP Ratings

Ratings for GHPs are published under two different headings: ARI 325 (open loop or groundwater heat pumps) and ARI 330 (closed loop or ground-coupled heat pumps). These ratings are intended for specific applications and cannot be used interchangeably.

ARI 325 is intended for groundwater heat pump systems. Performance (EER and COP) is published at two water temperatures: 70° and 50°F. The pumping penalty used in ARI 325 is higher than the pumping allowance for ARI 330.

ARI 330 is intended for closed loop or ground-coupled GHPs and is based upon entering water temperature of 77°F in the cooling mode and 32° in the heating mode. One of the limitations of this rating is that the temperatures used are reflective of a northern climate. Southern installations would see higher temperatures entering the heat pump and thus, have better winter and poorer summer performance than indicated.

ASHP Ratings

The major difference between ratings for ASHPs and GHPs is that the air source values are seasonal. They are intended to reflect the total heating or cooling output for the season divided by the total electrical input for the season. These ratings (HSPF - heating, SEER - cooling) cannot be directly compared to the GHP EER and COP numbers.

ASHPs are rated under ARI 210/240. In order to simplify the process, a number of assumptions are made regarding operation of the heat pump. The rating is based on a moderate climate (Washington, DC) and as a result, is not reflective of either very cold or very warm areas of the country.

Furnaces

Furnaces are rated by an index known as AFUE or annual fuel utilization efficiency. This is intended to reflect the annual heat energy supplied divided by the energy content of the fuel consumed to supply that heat. The major drawback is that the electricity required to operate the fan in the furnace (and the combustion air fan if so equipped) is not included in the rating.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1. What does it cost to install?

The best way to begin this answer is to say that it will cost more than a conventional system. How much more depends on where you live and which GHP system you use.

For ground-coupled systems (both horizontal and vertical), cost varies with the number of available contractors. Where the technology is not well established, the lack of competition results in higher prices. Open loop and pond loop systems, because they do not require specialized contractors, are less affected by this problem.

Much of the following information is taken from a recent study of GHP costs entitled "Cost Containment for Ground-Source Heat Pumps" (Kavanaugh, 1995). This report is available as a separate publication from the Geo-Heat Center. This report addressed only ground-coupled systems. Groundwater (GW) system values were added by the author of this publication. Costs shown are based on a national survey and costs in your area may vary.

Installed Cost - Ground Loop




Figure 3.

Figure 3 shows the cost of the ground loop portion of the system. For groundwater systems, the costs shown include the cost of a larger well pump, tank, piping to and from the house, and a 50 ft disposal well. For ground-coupled systems, the costs include the trenching or boring, pipe installation and headers up to the home. This could be considered the "outside" the home costs for the system.

Figure 4 shows the "inside" the home costs which includes: the heat pump unit, circulating pump, distribution piping, ductwork and incidental mechanical and electrical items.

Cost of Interior Installation w/o Loop




Figure 4.

Figure 5 indicates the cost for the heat pump unit only for the range of sizes normally found in residences.

Cost of Water-to-Air Heat Pump Units




Figure 5.

Figure 6 compares the total costs associated with six different systems for 3-ton capacity. Costs shown include: units, ductwork, all associated components and the ground loop.

Total Installed Cost




Figure 6.

2. How does the cost of heating with a GHP compare to other heating methods?

This has a great deal to do with your local rates for electricity and other fuels. The comparison involves the efficiency of the device, the type of fuel used and the cost of that fuel.

Commonly used heating fuels have the following approximate heating content:

Fuel oil - 138,000 Btu/gal Propane - 90,000 Btu/gal

Natural gas -100,000 Btu/therm (1,000 Btu/ft³)

Electricity - 3,413 Btu/kWh

A common index of the cost of heat is "dollars per 1,000,000 Btu of useful heat." In order to calculate useful heat (heat actually delivered to the house), it's necessary to adjust for the efficiency of the heating device and the cost of the fuel. The following equations can be used for this purpose:

Fuel oil	7.25x\$/gallon efficiency
Propane	11.1x\$/gallon efficiency
Natural gas	10.0x\$/therm efficiency
Electric resistance	293 x \$/kWh
ASHP	293 x \$ / kWh COP
GHP	293 x \$ / kWh

As an example, let's look at a location in a moderately cold climate when the fuel costs are as follows:

Electricity, \$0.07/kWh; fuel oil, \$1.05/gal; propane, \$1.20/gal; and natural gas, \$0.60/therm. This would result in the following useful heat costs:

Electric resistance	20.51
Propane	15.86
ASHP	9.54 (2.15 COP)
Fuel oil	9.06
Natural gas	7.14
GHP	5.86 (3.5 COP)

\$ per Million Btu

Obviously, it is necessary to know the total amount of heat required for the year to calculate annual savings. The above values, however, provide an indication of the percentage savings to be expected from a GHP system compared to other options for heating.

Savings are also generated during domestic hot water heating and cooling. These will be small compared to the heating savings in all but southern climates. See the next question for some examples.

3. How much will it save?

As mentioned in the above question, this depends upon the particulars of your case and for an exact answer requires a sophisticated computer simulation. To provide a guide, the following data was developed (Kavanaugh, 1992a; Kavanaugh, 1992b) for three U.S. locations with widely differing climates. The values shown are annual kWh consumption for the different system types.

These figures are based on newly constructed homes conforming to local energy efficiency standards (which are much more stringent in the northwest portion of the country). GHPs are assumed to be equipped with desuperheaters for hot water heating. The balance of the water heating is by electric water heaters.

Additional savings information is available from the sources listed on page 22. The U.S. EPA report, "Space Conditioning: The Next Frontier" by L'Ecuyer and others (EPA 430-R93-004) also contains savings information

. 4. How much of the job can I do myself?

Very little. The performance of a ground-coupled heat pump system is determined by the quality of the installation. Assuring that proper backfilling is done around the pipe, fusing of the polyethylene piping, flushing the system and purging air from it, all require skills, tools and equipment only available to properly trained contractors. Ground loops are not do-it-yourself projects.

5. What about domestic hot water heating?

Most GHP units can be equipped (optionally) with a device called a desuperheater to partially heat domestic hot water (DHW). In the summer, this device uses some of the "waste" heat from the air conditioning to heat hot water. As a result, during the cooling season, this heat is free; although there is a small cost to operate a circulating pump to capture it. In the winter, some of the capacity of the heat pump is diverted from space heating to heat domestic hot water. It is important to understand, however, that the heat pump only produces domestic hot water when it is running for either space heating or cooling purposes. As a result, only a portion of the annual domestic hot water heating needs are met by the desuperheater.

The percentage of annual DHW heating needs met depends upon the run time of the heat pump and DHW use patterns in the home. The largest savings occur in applications where the heat pump runs a large number of

		Atlant	<u>a, GA</u>			
	ASHP ASHP (variable speed) GHP (std. eff.) GHP (high eff.)	kWh <u>Cooling</u> 3,409 2,499 2,599 2,079	kWh <u>Heating</u> 7,396 5,540 4,236 3,510	kWh <u>DHW</u> 4,120 4,120 2,620 2,509	Total 14,925 12,159 9,455 8,098	kWh
Spokane, WA						
	ASHP ASHP (variable speed) GHP (std. eff.)	kWh <u>Cooling</u> 773 435 451	kWh <u>Heating</u> 11,475 9,295 5,562	kWh <u>DHW</u> 4,120 4,120 3,150	Total 16,458 13,850 9,163	kWh
		Portlar	nd, OR			
	ASHP ASHP (variable speed) GHP (std. eff.)	kWh <u>Cooling</u> 513 285 337	kWh <u>Heating</u> 6,666 4,706 3,549	kWh <u>DHW</u> 4,120 3,150 3,468	Total 11,299 9,111 7,354	kWh
	` '					

hours (particularly in the cooling mode) and where alternative water heating is by electric resistance.

For an average family size (3.5 persons), with a 3-ton heat pump, the annual savings on domestic hot water would be in the range of 25% (colder climates) to 50% (warmer climates), or about \$100 - \$200 per year at \$0.08/ kWh (Phetteplace, 1997). Since desuperheater capacity is directly related to heat pump capacity, the savings from a 4- or 5-ton system would be greater than the 3-ton savings cited above.

6. Should I use vertical, horizontal or open loop?

This is a tough question to answer. Let's look first at whether to go open loop or closed loop.

Open loop systems are best applied in situations where the house is, or will be, served by its own water well. A slightly larger well pump is installed to provide for the water required by the heat pump. A major consideration is the disposal of the water. Existing systems have used ponds, lakes, rivers, irrigation ditches, and return (or injection) wells. Surface disposal is obviously the least expensive option; but, even if a disposal well is required, the capital cost is likely to be much less than the cost of a closed loop ground coupling. Water quality is also an important issue. Since the water is used directly in the heat pump, the issue of corrosion and/or scaling can be a problem. If the water is hard (>100 ppm) high in iron or contains hydrogen sulphide (rotten egg smell), a closed loop system would be a better choice. If the water is of good quality and the house is to be served by a well for domestic water, serious consideration should be given to the open loop approach. See the costs section of this report for capital costs for the open loop system.

If the system is to be a closed loop design, the choice between vertical and horizontal system is sometimes a difficult one to grapple with. The major advantage of the vertical design is that it requires much less ground area at the surface and it places the loop in a much more thermally stable zone. Soil at 100 ft sees essentially no temperature fluctuations; whereas, soil at a 4 or 5 ft depth may fluctuate significantly in temperature. As a result, the vertical design offers the potential of supplying the heat pump warmer water in winter and cooler water in summer.

Subsurface conditions and contractor availability will be the dominant factor in determining which type of ground coupling is used for many projects. In most areas of the country, the availability of contractors is still very limited. As a result, if the local contractors only install horizontal systems, that will likely be your most economical installation.

The thermal advantages of the vertical over the horizontal are less of a factor in moderate climates. The more extreme the climate, either in heating or cooling, the greater the advantage of the vertical system.

See the cost section for a discussion of system costs.

7. Who makes the best equipment?

This is a lot like asking who makes the best car. All major manufacturers produce quality products and what is "under the hood" on most products is surprisingly similar.

One way to compare equipment is by the rated performance. This information is published periodically in the ARI (American Refrigeration Institute) Directory. The following tables list the heating (COP) and cooling (EER) performance data from the most recent directory (ARI, 1996).

COP

				COP		
HEATING	Tons:	2	3	3.5	4	5
Addison "G"		3.1	3.1	3	3.1	2.9
Bard		3	3	2.8	2.7	2.5
Carrier		3	3	2.8	3	2.6
Carrier GT		3	3	2.8	3	2.6
Carrier GTX			3.5	3.8	3.7	3.4
Climate Master Classic (P)		3.4	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Climate Master Geo-Thermal (E)		3	3	2.8	3	2.6
Climate Master Ultra TR			3.5	3.8	3.7	3.4
Command Aire		2.9	3.1	2.9	3.1	2.9
ECONAR		3.4	3.2	3	3	3.1
FHP Geo-Miser Single		3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	
FHP Geo-Thermal		3.1	3.1	3.3	3	3.1
FHP GT 3000			2.4		2.4	
Heat Controller		3.1	3.1	3	3.1	2.9
Hydro Delta Hydro Heat		3.1	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.2
Mammoth		3.1	3.1	3	3.1	2.9
Millbrook Hydron Module		3.2	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4
TETCO		3.3	3.2	3.1	3.1	3
Trane		2.9	3.1	3	3.1	2.9
WaterFurnace Premier AT		3.4	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.2
WaterFurnace Spectra (SX)		3.3	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.1
WaterFurnace Northern Ldr.		2.7	2.7			

				EEK		
Cooling	Tons:	2	3	3.5	4	5
Addison "G"		14.1	14.6	14.2	14.2	13
Bard		13	12.5	11.5	11.5	11.5
Carrier		11.5	11.2	11.3	10.9	10.6
Carrier GT		11.5	11.2	11.3	10.9	10.6
Carrier GTX			16.2	17.5	16.6	14.3
Climate Master Classic (P)			13.8	13.2	13.5	13
Climate Master Geo-Thermal (E)		11.5	11.2	11.3	10.9	10.6
Climate Master Ultra TR			16.2	17.5	16.6	14.3
Command Aire		15.6	16.1	15	16	13.9
ECONAR		14.5	13.8	13.4	12.4	11.9
FHP Geo-Miser Single		16.6	15.4	15.7		
FHP Geo-Thermal		13.4	14.3	13.5	13	13
FHP GT 3000			12.5		12.1	11
Heat Controller		14.1	14.6	14.4	14.2	13
Hydro Delta Hydro Heat		13	13.2	13.1	13.1	13
Mammoth		13.8	14	13.4	13.4	13.1
Millbrook Hydron Module		13.5	13.6	13	13.1	13
TETCO		13.8	13.6	13.6	13.3	13
Trane		15.6	16.1	15	16	13.9
WaterFurnace Premier AT		16.8	16	17	16.1	15
WaterFurnace Spectra (SX)		15.5	13.6	13.9	13.9	13.4
WaterFurnace Northern Ldr.		14.1	14			

Reference: ARI, 1996

This information addresses only the standard packaged single speed (or single compressor) units of the manufacturers. Many produce other types of equipment of both higher and lower performance. The units listed here are the most widely used models.

8. How do I find a contractor?

Selection of a contractor for a geothermal heat pump system is very important, particularly for ground-coupled systems. There are several places to look for information.

Local utilities often have promotional and/or certification programs for both ASHP and GHP contractors. The utility may maintain a list of approved contractors to which they can refer you.

Manufacturers (see list below) of heat pump equipment can direct you to a dealer/contractor in your area. The International Ground Source Heat Pump Association (IGSHPA) maintains a list of contractors on their web site on the internet (http://www.okstate.igshpa.edu). The list is organized by state.

The search for a groundwater system contractor is somewhat simpler. In this case, most general heating and air conditioning contractors can handle the installation without any special training. It is necessary for him to coordinate with the well pump contractor to assure that an adequately sized well pump and tank are installed.

9. Who makes the heat pump units?

Climate Master

Addison/Weatherking

EED

Corp	7300 S.W. 44th Street
7050 Overland Road	Oklahoma City, OK 73125
Orlando, FL 32810	Ph: (405) 745-6000
Ph: (407) 292-4400	Fax: (405) 745-3629
Fax: (407) 290-1329	,
Aqua Cal 2737 24th Street North St. Petersburg, FL 33713 Ph: (813) 823-5642 Fax: (813) 821-7471	Econar Energy Systems 19230 Evans Street Elk River, MN 55330 Ph: (612) 241-3110 Ph: 1-800-4-ECONAR Fax: (612) 241-3111
Bard Manufacturing PO Box 607 Bryan, OH 43506 Ph: (419) 636-1194	FHP Manufacturing Div. Leigh Products, Inc. 601 N.W. 65th Court Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33309 Ph: (305) 776-5471 Fax: (305) 776-5529
Carrier Carrier Parkway PO Box 4804 Syracuse, NY 13221 Ph: (315) 432-7383	Heat Exchanger, Inc. PO Box 790 Skokie, IL 60076 Ph: (312) 679-0300

HydroDelta Corp 10205 Gravois St. Louis, MO 63123 Ph: (314) 849-5550 Fax: (314) 849-8410 Snyder General PO Box 1551 Minneapolis, MN 55440 Ph: (612) 553-5330

Hydro Temp Hwy 67 South PO Box 566 Pocahantas, AR 72455 Ph: (501) 892-8343 Ph: 1-800-382-3113 Fax: (501) 892-8323 Thermal Energy Transfer Corp. 1290 U.S. 42 North Delaware, OH 43015 Ph: 1-800-363-5002 Fax: (614) 363-0450

Mammoth 101 West 82nd Street Chaska, MN 55318 Ph: (612) 361-2644 Fax: (612) 361-2700 Trane/Command-Aire Corp. PO Box 7916 Waco, TX 76714 Ph: (817) 840-5329 Fax: (817) 840-2221

Marvair PO Box 400 Cordele, GA 31015 Ph: (912) 273-3636 WaterFurnace Int'l 9000 Conservation Way Ft. Wayne, IN 46809 Ph: (219) 478-5667 Ph: 1-800 222-5667 Fax: (219) 747-2828

Millbrook Industries Hydronic Division RR #3, Box 265 Mitchell, SD 57301 Ph: (605) 995-0241 Fax: (605) 996-9186 Walen
Department 1
PO Box 1390
Easton, MD 21601
Ph: (301) 822-9200

10. What do I look for in a contractor?

CERTIFICATION and EXPERIENCE! The contractor should be certified by the International Ground Source Heat Pump Association (IGSHPA) and should have demonstrated experience in installing GHP systems. Don't be afraid to ask to see proof of certification and to ask the location of previous installations.

11. Can GHP systems be used in conjunction with hot water space heating?

Yes and no. Heat pumps are available from several manufacturers that produce hot and chilled water rather than hot and cold air. These units can be connected to some types of hot water heating equipment. The limitation in the heating mode is temperature. Conventional hot water radiators and base-board type elements are designed to operate at temperatures of 160°F and above (older systems as high as 200°F). Unitary heat pumps are limited to producing supply water temperatures of less than 120°F. As a result, on a retrofit basis (a home with existing hot water radiator or baseboard), the prospects are not favorable.

The best hot water system to connect to a GHP are radiant floor (or hydronic radiant slab) systems. This design, in which special plastic tubing is installed in the floor slab as it is poured, operate at water temperatures typically much lower than radiator type systems. In order to minimize the required water temperature, the home should be well insulated and use minimal floor coverings. This type of system is more complex, in terms of equipment and controls than a standard water-to-air system and requires careful design.

In general, complete space cooling cannot be accomplished with a floor system since condensation would occur on the floor surface. As a result, this system generally must be coupled with some sort of fan coil unit to provide cooling and dehumidification, if needed.

12. Can snow melting be done?

Snow melting can be accomplished with GHPs; but, there are serious cost impacts in residential applications.

Due to the nature of snow melting, a separate system must be installed to serve the load. This is due to its requirement for the circulation of an antifreeze fluid through the system, instead of the warm air supplied by water-to-air heat pumps. Beyond this, since the requirement for snow melting coincides with the need for space heating, additional ground loop or well capacity must be installed to serve the snow melting system.

Although GHPs produce heat less expensively than most other systems, because of the substantial quantities of heat required by snow melting systems, the annual cost remains high. The high energy cost is a result of the way snow melt systems are operated. Most systems are allowed to "idle" at a low heat output during the winter season. This allows the paved surface to quickly come up to temperature when snow fall occurs. The energy consumed by this idling operation, because of the number of hours over an entire season, is substantial. Because of the thermal mass of the paved surface, simply turning the system on when snow fall occurs results in a long time lag (several hours to one day) between start up and snow melting. This results in incomplete snow removal and a "corduroy" effect on the surface.

The high energy cost is compounded by the need for high water temperatures to produce the necessary output required for adequate snow melting. These temperatures, in areas where heavy snow occurs, are far in excess of what would be produced by available unitary heat pump equipment.

The following evaluation of a snow melt system for a residence in Michigan points out some of the limitations.

"In your area, a snow melting system would be designed for an output of about 165 Btu/hr per square foot, under melting conditions. For a 12 ft wide 100 ft long driveway, this would amount to 198,000 Btu/hr or the equivalent of about a 20-ton heat pump. This is about six times the size heat pump required for the average house.

For snow melting conditions below 30°F and wind speeds above 5 mph, required water temperatures in the snow melt loop are in excess of 130°F. This is higher than the average heat pump can produce.

Because the snow melting system requires the circulation of hot water, a water-to-water heat pump is required. Most homes with a geothermal heat pump use a water-to-air heat pump.

Snow melting requires a substantial amount of energy on an annual basis. In your area, a residential system would consume about 133,000 Btu/yr per square foot of driveway. Supplying this from a geothermal heat pump, at a COP of 3.5, would require an electrical input of 11 kWh/sq ft of driveway. For a driveway of 1200 sq ft (100 ft x 12 ft), this would be about 13,200 kWh/yr or \$924 per year at \$0.07/kWh."

Snow melting has been successfully incorporated into some commercial GHP systems serving gas stations/convenience store operations. The advantage here is that the store contains a great deal of refrigeration equipment which continually produces waste heat that is used for the snow melting system.

The moral of the story is that snow melting can be done with GHPs if money is no object. For most folks though, it's much more economical to hire the neighborhood kid to shovel the driveway.

13. Can I heat my pool?

Pools can be heated with a GHP and in very warm climates, this makes a good match with a space conditioning GCHP. In cooling dominated climates, the space conditioning heat pump rejects much more heat to the ground than it absorbs from the ground. As a result, there is the potential for a gradual increase in ground temperature to occur over a period of years, where a marginally-sized ground-coupled system is used. Removing this excess heat and delivering it to a swimming pool reduces (or eliminates) the problem and may allow a reduction in the ground loop length.

Pool heating will require a separate heat pump for the pool. Beyond this, the heating capacity of the heat pump will likely be less than that of a typical gas-fired heater in the same application. This is a result of the fact that heat pumps cost about five times what gas-fired pool heaters do per unit of heating capacity. The smaller heat pump would not affect the ability to maintain pool temperature, but would result in a longer time required to bring the pool temperature from cold up to usable temperatures at the beginning of the season.

The pool heating unit would be of the water-to-water type rather than the water-to-air design used for home heating and air conditioning. The impact of the pool heating upon required loop length would depend upon the size of the pool and the amount of the year it is in operation.

14. I currently have a propane (or oil or gas) furnace and I am thinking about changing to a GHP. What should I be aware of?

First of all, there will be a major difference in the air temperature from the supply registers. Heat pumps, regardless of the type, produce lower temperature air than fossil fuel furnaces. Air-source heat pumps produce the coolest air 90°F to 95°F. GHPs produce air of 95°F to 103°F, a small but very noticeable improvement.

Another issue is the ductwork. If the house was not originally equipped for air conditioning, the ductwork may be undersized for the heat pump. Both central air conditioning and heat pumps require more air flow than fossil fuel furnaces. Be sure to have your contractor evaluate this issue. Under-sized ductwork results in noise and lower system efficiency.

15. Are there any substantial improvements in efficiency on the horizon?

There are always improvements to be made in mechanical devices like heat pumps. This is not a reason to put off the installation of a GHP system, however. Most of the substantial efficiency gains have been made over the past 10 years. Remaining improvements will likely be small in comparison to what has been achieved. As an example, the average performance of five manufacturer's equipment found in the 1987 and current ARI Directories has shown an average of 41% improvement in EER and 27% improvement in COP.

16. I am planning a large home. Should I use one large unit or two smaller ones?

There are several reasons why it may be advisable to use two smaller units than one large one. The use of two or more small units is referred to in the HVAC trade as "zoning." Generally a separate zone is established if one or more of the following criteria apply: the area has a specific use distinct from the rest of the home (mother-in-law's apartment), the area is maintained at a distinct temperature (basement), a separate level of the home (2nd floor bedrooms).

An additional reason for using two systems is that the equipment of many manufacturers falls off in performance above four tons. As a result, the use of two 3-ton units is likely to yield a higher performance than a single 6- or 7-ton unit. This performance difference, however, is not sufficient to justify the additional cost of the 2-system design; but, enhanced temperature control will result in greater comfort.

17. Is the system's antifreeze a potential environmental problem?

In residential applications, the commonly used antifreeze solutions pose little to no environmental hazard. Each state regulates the types of antifreeze materials used in GHP systems. The most commonly used ones are propylene glycol, and methanol. Propylene glycol is a non-toxic fluid which poses no hazards to the environment, humans or animals, and in fact, is used in food processing refrigeration.

Methanol (or alcohol) is potentially flammable, but not in the concentrations used in GHP systems. It is similar to the antifreeze solution used in windshield washer systems.

18. I have heard of a system where air is circulated through large diameter pipes buried in the soil and then supplied to the building for heating purposes. Is this possible?

Anything is possible. It's just that some things work better than others. Due to limitations in heat transfer and equipment, this is one of those ideas that doesn't work too well. The following is an excerpt from a response we recently sent to a farmer in Minnesota. He had 42°F soil and wanted to heat some new barns.

"In order to transfer heat from a source (like the soil) to a fluid (like air), two things are necessary: a temperature difference and some surface area across which the heat will be transferred (the pipe). Because a temperature difference is required to drive the heat out of the soil, across the pipe and into the air, the temperature of the air leaving the buried pipe will always be less than the temperature of the soil. The closer you try to get the leaving air temperature to the soil temperature, the more pipe (surface area) it takes. For argument, let's figure that a 10°F difference is required (close to what ground-source heat pumps are designed for). This means that the air exiting the pipe will be 32°F in the coldest part of the year. In order for this air to deliver heat to the building to be heated, a temperature difference between the air exiting the pipe and the air in the space is required. The smaller this temperature difference is, the more air that must be circulated to meet the heating load. The problem is that these two temperature differences, combined with the temperature of the soil result in the ability to maintain only very low temperatures in the "heated" buildings. If we used another 10°F temperature dif-ference between the space and the pipe exit air, this would result in the ability to main-tain only 22°F maximum in the space. The

above assumes that the soil would remain at the undisturbed temperature of 42°F minimum. This would not be the case since the removal of heat would cause the decline in the soil temperature, thus reducing the temperatures used above.

This type of system has some real possibilities in the cooling season; but, as you can see, it's pretty limited in the heating season."

The soil is an excellent heat source; but, it requires a heat pump in the system to "amplify" the heat to usable levels for normal space heating.

19. Where can I go for more information?

Geo-Heat Center 3201 Campus Drive Klamath Falls, OR 97601 http://www.oit.edu/~geoheat

International Ground Source Heat Pump Association (IGSHPA)

470 Cordell South

Stillwater, OK 74078-8018

Ph: 1-800 626-GSHP

http://www.igshpa.okstate.edu

Geothermal Heat Pump Consortium Inc.

701 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004-2696

Ph: 202-508-5500 Fax: 202-508-5222 http://www.ghpc.org

National Rural Electric Corporative Research Division 1800 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20032

Ph: 202-857-9775

http://www.webplus.net/nreca/homepage.htm1

Electric Power Research Institute

P.O. Box 10412 Palo Alto, CA 94303

Di 415 055 2010

Ph: 415-855-2810

http://www.epri.com/information/aboutEPRI.htm1

Your local electric utility

Your state energy office

REFERENCES

American Refrigeration Institute, 1996. <u>Directory of Certified Applied Air Conditioning Products</u>, December 96 - May 97, ARI, Arlington, VA.

- Kavanaugh, S. K. and C. Gilbrath, December 1995. "Cost Containment of Ground Source Heat Pumps." Tennessee Valley Authority, Chattanooga, TN.
- Kavanaugh, S. K., 1992a. "Demand and Energy Study of High Efficiency Heating and Cooling Equipment in Oregon." Presented at *Ground Source Heat Pumps A Natural for the Northwest*, Portland, OR. Sponsored by Oregon Institute of Technology, Geo-Heat Center, Klamath Falls, OR.
- Kavanaugh, S. K., 1992b. "Demand and Energy Study of High Efficiency Heating and Cooling Equipment in Georgia." <u>Geo-Heat Center Quarterly Bulletin</u>, Vol. 14, No. 1, Oregon Institute of Technology, Geo-Heat Center, Klamath Falls, OR.

- Kavanaugh, S. K., 1991. "Ground Coupling with Water Source Heat Pumps." Presented at *Meeting Customers Need with Heat Pumps*. Sponsored by EPRI, Dallas, TX.
- Kavanaugh, S. K., 1991. "Ground and Water Source Heat Pumps: A Manual for the Design and Installation of Ground-Coupled, Groundwater and Lake Water Heating and Cooling Systems in Southern Climates." Energy Information Services, Tuscaloosa, AL.
- Phetteplace, G., 1996. Personal communication. U.S. Army Cold Regions Research Lab, Hanover, NH.